



Introduction of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes for prevention of dengue transmission in Tegucigalpa, Honduras: a mixed-methods implementation study

Josselith Castañeda¹, Denis Escobar², Diana Gómez-Lopez³, Edgard Boquín¹, Stavros Dimopoulos, ¹ Sergio Mejia¹, María Sol Lianou¹, Juan Bernales¹, Nour Chiki⁴, Reinaldo Ortuño³, Arnauld Desjardins⁴, Iza Ciglenecki⁴, Derek Johnson³, Nelson Grisales⁵, Ana Vélez⁵, Alexander Uribe Yepes⁵, ***Lindsay Salem-Bango**³

¹Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), Tegucigalpa, Honduras; ²Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Tegucigalpa, Honduras; ³MSF, Mexico City, Mexico; ⁴MSF, Geneva, Switzerland; ⁵World Mosquito Program, Medellín, Colombia

* msfch-mexico-epidemiomanager@geneva.msf.org

Introduction

Global surges in dengue necessitate improved prevention strategies. One potential strategy is the introduction of *Wolbachia*, a naturally occurring bacteria that reduces arbovirus transmission, into local *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. In partnership with World Mosquito Program, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) collaborated with community members to release mosquitoes with *Wolbachia* in Tegucigalpa, Honduras. A mixed-methods implementation study was conducted to assess the feasibility, acceptability, and effectiveness of this strategy.

Methods

From August 2023 to February 2024, MSF staff and 51 community volunteers released over 8.3 million local-strain Aedes aegypti mosquitoes with Wolbachia in the El Manchen zone of Tegucigalpa, Honduras. Entomological monitoring was conducted biweekly during the release period and every 3 months thereafter, by collecting mosquitoes and conducting PCR tests for Wolbachia presence. Dengue case data from El Manchen and three comparable control zones without Wolbachia were collected weekly from the Ministry of Health to create epidemic curves and calculate incidence rate ratios (IRRs). Household surveys were performed one month before (July 2023; n=403) and one month after (March 2024; n=401) the intervention to assess community knowledge and acceptability of Wolbachia. Four focus group discussions (FGD) with community members, two FGD with MSF staff, and 50 individual interviews with community volunteers, leaders, and other key actors were conducted.

Ethics

This study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of SF (ID: 2305) and the Comité de Ética de Investigación instituted by the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras (UNAH) (No. PI 03-2023).

Results

Wolbachia prevalence increased from 0% at the start of the release period to 82.79% (n=202) in February 2024. Following the release period, Wolbachia prevalence initially declined to 57.55% (n=141) by June 2024, before steadily increasing to 96.96% (n=230) by February 2025. Meanwhile, the cumulative incidence rate (cases/10,000 people) for March 2024-February 2025 was 106.36 in El Manchen and 256.84 in the control zones (IRR 0.41, p<0.05). Median cumulative incidence rate for March-February 2018-2023 was 56.32 in El Manchen and 81.16 in the control zones (IRR 0.69). Awareness of Wolbachia increased from 33.7% (n=136) to 78.3% (n=314) after 8 months of community engagement. Post-intervention, the percentages of surveyed community members who found Wolbachia to be acceptable, neutral, or unacceptable were 64.1% (n=257), 28.4% (n=114), and 7.5% (n=30), respectively, compared to 85.1% (n=343), 10.7% (n=43), and 4.2% (n=17) pre-intervention. Most common complaints included an increase in mosquitoes, concerns about Wolbachia's safety among humans, and doubts of its effectiveness against dengue.

Conclusion

Preliminary results suggest that with strong community engagement, *Wolbachia* can be a feasible and effective strategy against dengue in Honduras. While awareness of *Wolbachia* improved, misinformation persisted. Post-intervention acceptability may have also been influenced by survey timing (during a significant dengue outbreak and before results on effectiveness were available).

Conflicts of interest

NG, AV, AUY work for World Mosquito Program (WMP), who developed the Wolbachia method analysed in the study. While WMP were involved in the implementation of the study (supporting the releases of mosquitoes with *Wolbachia*), they were not involved in data collection or analysis. All authors otherwise declare no competing interests.