

- 2 World Health Organization. Multidrug and extensively drug-resistant TB (M/XDR-TB): 2010 global report on surveillance and response; 2010. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2010/9789241599191_eng.pdf (accessed March 23, 2010).
- 3 Kam KM, Yip CW, Cheung TL, Tang HS, Leung OC, Chan MY. Stepwise decrease in moxifloxacin susceptibility amongst clinical isolates of multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium* tuberculosis: correlation with ofloxacin susceptibility. *Microb Drug Resist* 2006; **12**: 7–11.
- 4 Udwadia ZF, Amale RA, Ajbani KK, Rodrigues C. Totally drug-resistant tuberculosis in India. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; published online Dec 21. DOI:10.1093/cid/cir889.
- 5 Mitnick CD, Shin SS, Seung KJ, et al. Comprehensive treatment of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **359**: 563–74.
- required, that might reduce the risk of transmission to others, as is being attempted in South Africa.²
- As case detection and treatment for MDR tuberculosis is scaled up internationally,³ how to care for patients who have exhausted all treatment options with existing second-line drugs will become increasingly important. Currently, no third-line treatment for tuberculosis exists. Until newer drugs become available, we will need to care for such patients in a manner that balances the risk of ongoing transmission with individual human rights. The health system must still support patients in whom treatment has failed. The provision of home-based palliative care, for example, is likely to be more humane and less costly to health services compared with involuntary detention.⁴
- Although a small proportion of patients might realistically be classified as recalcitrant, and legal means may be necessary to restrict transmission, we feel that every effort should be made to support patients, either to continue treatment if they so wish, or to live out the remainder of their lives in a manner that minimises the risk of transmission to others.⁵ In this case, the threat of incarceration is also likely to further reduce the chances that this patient will be located. We feel that such patients should not be managed by an automatic resort to legal coercion.

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

*Helen Cox, Jennifer Hughes, Nathan Ford, Leslie London
hcox@burnet.edu.au

Médecins Sans Frontières, Khayelitsha, Sea Point 8050, Cape Town, South Africa (HC, JH); and University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa (NF, LL)

- 1 Ereqat S, Spigelman M, Bar-Gal GK, Ramlawi A, Abdeen Z. MDR tuberculosis and non-compliance with therapy. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011; **11**: 662.
- 2 Médecins Sans Frontières. Scaling up diagnosis and treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis in Khayelitsha, South Africa. 2011. <http://www.msf.org.za/publication/scaling-diagnosis-and-treatment-drug-resistant-tuberculosis-khayelitsha-south-africa> (accessed Mar 24, 2011).
- 3 World Health Organization. Towards universal access to diagnosis and treatment of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis by 2015: WHO progress report 2011. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241501330_eng.pdf (accessed Mar 24, 2011).
- 4 Upshur R, Singh J, Ford N. Apocalypse or redemption: responding to extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Bull World Health Organ* 2009; **87**: 481–83.
- 5 London L. Confinement for extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: balancing protection of health systems, individual rights and the public's health. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2009; **13**: 1200–09.

Authors' Reply

We thank Helen Cox and colleagues for their comments, but point out that we do not disagree with them. Health is a human right that should be guaranteed through legal and social policies. We endeavoured in our letter¹ to ask questions, not to propose an answer. Naturally the Palestinian Health Authority made all efforts to keep the patient in therapy. Our letter was directed at a recalcitrant patient, one who has had all counselling suggested and who then disappeared and thus refused further therapy. What are our obligations as doctors in this case and what do we do if the patient goes to a different country? As multidrug-resistant microbes are becoming an increasing health and community problem, should thought be given to making some such infections notifiable diseases, as is done in Australia for various other diseases?² Such a move could solve many problems and allow some control of patients.

Cox and colleagues state that no new third-line treatment for tuberculosis exists, but happily the situation is not quite that bleak.^{3,4} We would pose the question: if this individual were a teacher of young children, would he be allowed to work? And if he moved and left treatment, what are the legal obligations and constraints on his physicians or the relevant health authority to notify people at his destination or issue a general warning? We too believe that any form of control should not affect the patient's dignity, but the question of compulsory isolation for