VIEWPOINTS

Development of Diagnostics for Chagas Disease: Where Should We Put Our Limited Resources?

Albert Picado¹*, Andrea Angheben², Andrea Marchiol³, Belkisyolé Alarcón de Noya⁴, Laurence Flevaud⁵, Maria Jesus Pinazo⁶, Montserrat Gállego^{6,7}, Sheba Meymandi⁸, Silvia Moriana⁹

1 Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND), Geneva, Switzerland, 2 Centre for Tropical Diseases, Hospital Sacro Cuore, Don Calabria, Negrar, Verona, Italy, 3 Drugs for Neglected Diseases initiative (DNDi), Geneva, Switzerland, 4 Immunology Section, Instituto de Medicina Tropical, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas, Venezuela, 5 Médecins Sans Frontières Operational Centre Barcelona-Athens (MSF OCBA), Barcelona, Spain, 6 ISGlobal, Barcelona Ctr. Int. Health Res. (CRESIB), Hospital Clínic-Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 7 Secció de Parasitologia, Departament de Biologia, Sanitat i Medi Ambient, Facultat de Farmàcia, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 8 Department of Cardiology, Center of Excellence for Chagas Disease at Olive View-UCLA Medical Center, Sylmar, California, United States of America, 9 The Global Chagas Disease Coalition, Barcelona, Spain

* albert.picado@finddx.org

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), one of the milestones set by the London Declaration for Chagas disease—the interruption of Chagas disease transmission through blood transfusion in Latin America—was achieved in most countries (20 out of 21) in 2015 [1]. This is a crucial step towards reaching the goal of controlling Chagas disease by 2020. However, many challenges remain: less than 1% of the 6 to 7 million people infected with *Trypanosoma cruzi* are treated, and new infections still occur [2].

Twenty to thirty percent of chronic Chagas disease patients will develop cardiac and/or gastrointestinal complications [3]. The WHO recommends treating *T. cruzi*-infected patients. Antiparasitic treatments are highly effective in patients in the acute phase and reduce the risk of disease progression in patients in the indeterminate stage of the disease (patients chronically infected with *T. cruzi* but without evidence of cardiac or gastrointestinal disease) [4,5]. Because T. cruzi can be transmitted by a variety of routes [6] (i.e., vectorial transmission when T. cruzi parasites, which are released when the infected blood-sucking triatomine bugs defecate, enter the body via the skin break caused by the bug's bite or via other mucosa [e.g., oral transmission through contaminated food]; congenital infection; blood transfusion; and cell, blood, or tissue transplantation) and because the majority of people with chronic infection have never been tested and are not aware of their status, the development of new tools to diagnose Chagas disease is a priority. This is true in endemic countries as well as in regions where infected people have migrated in recent years (e.g., Europe, Asia, and North America). Despite concerted efforts to use available tools to diagnose Chagas disease in different patient groups and epidemiological and clinical settings in Latin American countries over the last four decades, and in nonendemic countries since the early 2000s, a large number of patients are diagnosed late or not at all. While the reasons for these diagnostic trends are diverse and vary across countries and patient groups, one of the main limitations is the lack of reliable diagnostic tests adapted to the needs of patients and health systems.

The resources to develop new diagnostic tools for Chagas disease are scarce, so it is important to allocate them to diagnostic needs that are not adequately covered by existing tools.



6 OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Picado A, Angheben A, Marchiol A, Alarcón de Noya B, Flevaud L, Pinazo MJ, et al. (2017) Development of Diagnostics for Chagas Disease: Where Should We Put Our Limited Resources? PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11(1): e0005148. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005148

Editor: Alain Debrabant, US Food and Drug Administration, UNITED STATES

Published: January 5, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Picado et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Table 1. Diagnostic needs for Chagas disease.

Diagnostic need	Definition			
Point-of-care* (PoC) test for Chagas disease patients in the acute phase	PoC test to identify acute <i>T. cruzi</i> infection (e.g., vector, oral transmission in the general population, reactivation in immunosuppressed patients).			
PoC test for Chagas disease patients in the chronic phase	PoC test to identify chronic <i>T. cruzi</i> infection in the general population (including pregnant women).			
Test to screen blood and organ donors/donations	Test to screen for <i>T. cruzi</i> infection in blood and organ donors/donations.			
PoC test for congenital Chagas disease	PoC test to detect <i>T. cruzi</i> in newborns (as a result of congenital transmission of Chagas Disease).			
Test for early assessment of treatment response	Test to assess efficacy of antiparasitic treatment soon after completion of treatment in the chronic phase.			
Progression test: Test to identify patients with a high risk of developing Chagas disease complications	Test to identify, among <i>T. cruzi</i> infected patients, individuals with a high risk of developing neurologic, cardiac, or intestinal complications.			
Test for early assessment of heart damage in Chagas disease patients	Test to identify, among <i>T. cruzi</i> infected patients, those with early cardiac damage.			
Test for early assessment of digestive damage in Chagas disease patients	Test to identify, among <i>T. cruzi</i> infected patients, those with early digestive damage.			
Test for screening of drug resistance to benznidazole and/or nifurtimox	Test to determine if <i>T. cruzi</i> is resistant to the available drugs (benznidazole/nifurtimox).			

^{*}A point-of-care (PoC) test is a test performed and interpreted where health care is provided, close to or near the patient.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005148.t001

Agreeing on diagnostic priorities will help to ensure that efforts and resources are directed to the development of tests that increase access to diagnosis and contribute to disease control. In recent years, the WHO, the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), and Chagas disease experts have initiated this important process by identifying a range of diagnostic needs [7–11] (summarised in Table 1). The next step is for the Chagas disease community, including professionals with different backgrounds, expertise, and geographical locations, to rank these diagnostic needs.

To facilitate this ranking of diagnostic needs, we invited 155 Chagas disease experts to identify the three main diagnostic priorities for Chagas disease from Table 1. The experts were selected from among lead authors of Chagas disease scientific papers, physicians managing Chagas disease patients, and representatives of institutions involved in Chagas disease management (i.e., ministries of health, nongovernmental organizations [NGOs], private-public partnerships [PPP], the WHO/PAHO, and industry). Eighty-six of the experts (55%) were from Latin American countries; the other 69 (45%) were from nonendemic countries. Details on the 155 experts invited to participate are provided in S1 Table. The experts were asked to take into account the following: (1) existing diagnostic tools and (2) the expected clinical and epidemiological scenario of Chagas disease in the next five years. The survey was conducted in English, Spanish, and Portuguese in May and June of 2016 using Google Forms. For each expert, 3 points, 2 points, and 1 points were given to the first-, second-, and third-most-important priorities, respectively. A final score, calculated for each diagnostic need, was used to rank them. The results of the survey are presented here, pooled as well as by subgroup.

Sixty-two experts (40%) completed the survey; the respondents were equally distributed (n = 31) between Latin American and non-Latin American countries. The majority worked in research (n = 22) and hospitals or NGOs (n = 16), but respondents also included representatives of health ministries and the WHO/PAHO (n = 9) and patient associations (n = 1), among



Table 2. Ranking diagnostic needs for Chagas disease (June 2016).

Diagnostic need Number of respondents	Total 62	Latin America 31	Non-Latin America	Researchers 22	Hospitals/ NGOs	MoH—WHO/ PAHO ¹
Test for early assessment of treatment response	1 (83)	3 (35)	1 (48)	2 (25)	1 (26)	2 (14)
PoC ² test for congenital Chagas disease	2 (76)	1 (38)	3 (38)	3 (24)	4 (16)	1 (15)
Progression test: test to identify patients with a high risk of developing Chagas disease complications	3 (73)	4 (29)	2 (44)	1 (29)	2 (24)	4 (5)
PoC test for Chagas disease patients in the chronic phase	4 (67)	2 (36)	4 (31)	4 (18)	3 (19)	3 (12)

¹MoH, Ministry of Health; WHO, World Health Organization; PAHO, Pan American Health Organization;

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005148.t002

others (see <u>S1 Table</u> for details). The response rate was higher among experts from non-Latin American countries (45%) compared to Latin American experts (36%). As detailed in <u>Table 2</u>, four diagnostic needs obtained similar scores: (1) a test for early assessment of treatment response (score 83); (2) a point-of-care (PoC) test for congenital Chagas disease (score 76); (3) a progression test to identify patients with a high risk of developing Chagas disease complications (score 73); and (4) a PoC test for Chagas disease patients in the chronic phase (score 67). The different subgroups (e.g., Latin American versus non-Latin American experts, different areas of expertise) consistently identified the same four diagnostic priorities for Chagas disease.

Experts from Latin America ranked the PoC test for congenital Chagas disease (score 38) as their top priority, whilst those from non-Latin American countries ranked the test for early assessment of treatment response (score 48) in first place. Researchers identified the progression test as the first priority, unlike respondents working in hospitals, NGOs, health ministries, and the WHO/PAHO, for whom the test to assess treatment response was the first priority. The rest of the diagnostic needs listed had lower scores (ranging from 1 to 28), and there were no major differences between subgroups, with one exception: the score for the PoC test for Chagas disease patients in the acute phase received a relatively high score from participants in Latin America (score 26) compared to non-Latin American experts (score 2). The complete dataset, including the scores for all diagnostic needs, is provided as supplementary material (S1 and S2 Tables).

According to respondents, the diagnostic tools currently available meet the requirements of some diagnostic needs, e.g., screening blood and organ donors or diagnosing Chagas disease patients in the acute phase. However, new diagnostic tools should be developed to assess treatment response, diagnose congenital Chagas disease, identify individuals at risk of developing Chagas disease-related complications, and diagnose *T. cruzi* infected individuals in the chronic phase. The development of those tools should be guided by detailed Target Product Profiles (TPPs) developed and endorsed by the WHO and the Chagas disease community. The current TPPs for Chagas disease diagnostics [8,12] should be reviewed and expanded to ensure they cover the priorities identified in this survey. The results of this survey and the revised TPPs should guide research groups and attract public and private funders interested in developing diagnostic tools for Chagas disease with the highest public health impact.

Defining the diagnostic needs and priorities for Chagas disease should be a dynamic process that is open to the whole Chagas disease community. To maximise input, the form used to

²PoC, Point of care

collect the data presented in this paper will remain available at http://goo.gl/forms/66jt8cLxShAyXbm33 for six months from the date of publication.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Individual ranking of the nine diagnostic needs for Chagas disease conducted by **62** experts. For each expert, 3 points, 2 points, and 1 point were given to the first, second, and third priorities, respectively. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Ranking and scores for all diagnostic needs for Chagas Disease. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Piedra Lightfoot and Julie Archer, whose suggestions helped improve the manuscript.

References

- WHO. Report of the WHO Strategic and Technical Advisory Group for Neglected Tropical Diseases [Internet]. Geneva; 2015. http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/NTD_STAG_report_2015.pdf?ua=1
- Ribeiro I, Sevcsik A-M, Alves F, Diap G, Don R, Harhay MO, et al. New, improved treatments for Chagas disease: from the R&D pipeline to the patients. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2009; 3: e484. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000484 PMID: 19582163
- Longo DL, Bern C. Chagas' Disease. N Engl J Med. 2015; 373: 456–466. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMra1410150 PMID: 26222561
- 4. WHO | Chagas disease (American trypanosomiasis). In: WHO [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2016 [cited 4 Oct 2016]. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs340/en/
- Coura JR, Borges-Pereira J. Chronic phase of Chagas disease: why should it be treated? A comprehensive review. Memórias do Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2011; 106: 641–5. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22012216
- Rassi A, Rassi A, Marin-Neto JA, Hotez P, Molyneux D, Fenwick A, et al. Chagas disease. Lancet. Elsevier; 2010; 375: 1388–402.
- Gomes YM, Lorena VM, Luquetti AO. Diagnosis of Chagas disease: what has been achieved? What remains to be done with regard to diagnosis and follow up studies? Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. Fundação Oswaldo Cruz; 2009; 104: 115–121.
- 8. Porrás AI, Yadon ZE, Altcheh J, Britto C, Chaves GC, Flevaud L, et al. Target Product Profile (TPP) for Chagas Disease Point-of-Care Diagnosis and Assessment of Response to Treatment. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015; 9: e0003697. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003697 PMID: 26042730
- WHO/TDR. Research Priorities for Chagas Disease, Human African Trypanosomiasis and Leishmaniasis Research Priorities for Chagas Disease, HAT and Leishmaniasis. WHO Technical Report Series N. 975. [Internet]. 2012. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77472/1/WHO_TRS_975_eng.pdf?ua=1
- International meeting: new diagnostic tests are urgently needed to treat patients with Chagas disease. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. SBMT; 2008; 41: 315–319.
- PATH. Diagnostic Gaps and Recommendations for Chagas: Assessment of User Needs, Use Cases, and the Diagnostic Landscape [Internet]. Seattle; 2016. http://sites.path.org/dx/files/2012/04/2016-04-08_Report_Chagas-disease_Dx-Gap-analysis_final.pdf
- Pinazo M-J, Thomas MC, Bua J, Perrone A, Schijman A-G, Viotti R-J, et al. Biological markers for evaluating therapeutic efficacy in Chagas disease, a systematic review. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2014; 12: 479–96. doi: 10.1586/14787210.2014.899150 PMID: 24621252